

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11257

Claimant: LEHIGH TILE/MARBLE WAREHOUSE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11258

Claimant: OHIO VALLEY GENERAL HOSPITAL- NEW ED BLD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11259

Claimant: OREGON AUTO,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11260

Claimant: NORTH PACIFIC PLAZA BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11261

Claimant: MERIDIAN BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11262

Claimant: MCKENZIE HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11263

Claimant: KAISER HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11322

Claimant: HAMILTON DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11323

Claimant: HAMILTON DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11324

Claimant: EUGENE MINI HALL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11384

Claimant: KELLER MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11385

Claimant: HEALTH CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11386

Claimant: STOCKTON CITY LIBRARY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11387

Claimant: CHERRY HILL PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11388

Claimant: ELIZABETH GENERAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11389

Claimant: GARDEN STATE HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11390

Claimant: MOLECULAR DIELECTRIC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11391

Claimant: GATEWAY BUILDING #2,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11392

Claimant: HOME FOR THE AGED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11393

Claimant: JOHN F K HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11394

Claimant: MEDI CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11395

Claimant: NATIONAL NEWARK & ESSEX BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11396

Claimant: RARITAN RIVER CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11397

Claimant: SPAULDING BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11398

Claimant: TATERBORO OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11399

Claimant: WELLINGTON NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11400

Claimant: METHODIST HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11401

Claimant: METHODIST HOSPITAL ADDITION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11402

Claimant: CIVIC CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11403

Claimant: INDIANA BELL TELEPHONE ADDITION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11404

Claimant: MENTAL HEALTH CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11405

Claimant: ALBANY AVE LIBRARY NKA HARTFORD CT PUB L,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11406

Claimant: VILLAGE FAIR SHOPPING CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11407

Claimant: KINGS DAUGHTER HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11408

Claimant: PLANTERS BANK & TRUST,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11409

Claimant: NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11410

Claimant: CITY AUDITORIUM,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11411

Claimant: CHARLESTON NATIONAL BANK PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11412

Claimant: CITY NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11413

Claimant: DALE SPICER HOSPITAL JOB,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11414

Claimant: MONTGOMERY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11415

Claimant: 110 PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11416

Claimant: 100 WALL STREET BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11417

Claimant: EDEN PARK NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11418

Claimant: BELLEVUE FURNITURE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11419

Claimant: CABRINI HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11420

Claimant: JOB, MORAN

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11421

Claimant: PEOPLE S NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11422

Claimant: BUFFALO MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11423

Claimant: KELLOGG CITIZENS BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11424

Claimant: AUGUSTANA LUTHERAN HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11425

Claimant: BROOKDALE SHOPPING CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11426

Claimant: MAJOR LEAGUE SHOPPING CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11427

Claimant: BANK OF CALIFORNIA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11428

Claimant: PRESIDENTIAL TOWERS CONDO FKA AMERICANA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11429

Claimant: EDEN PARK NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11430

Claimant: WILLIAMSBURG COMMUNITY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11431

Claimant: EASTERN PARKWAY LIBRARY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11432

Claimant: DAYCARE CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11433

Claimant: BAMBERGERS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11434

Claimant: ALEXANDER S DEPARTMENT STORE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11435

Claimant: OBERLIN AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11436

Claimant: SEVERANCE OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11437

Claimant: SEVERANCE MEDICAL BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11438

Claimant: SHELTER BRAINARD OFFICE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11439

Claimant: ST JOSEPH'S HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11440

Claimant: TRUMBALL COUNTY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11441

Claimant: WARREN GENERAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11442

Claimant: WHITE MOTORS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.